

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,057	01/31/2002	Michael B. Zemel	UTR-104D1	8306
26694	7590 01/28/2005		EXAM	INER
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003	5

D.112 ((11122): 01/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astion Comment	10/066037	ZEMEZ
Office Action Summary	Examiner	7 EMEZ Group Art Unit NAV 1617
	WEBN	11110 161/1
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet bo	eneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	3	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO DE THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaulting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state 	reply within the statutory minim	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
Status	2/14	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21/01	·
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	ot for formal matters, pros 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the merits is closed in 3.
Disposition of Claims	-9	to form and ing in the application
Claim(s) $\frac{1,4-6,27-4}{}$		is/are pending in the application.
/ Of the above claim(s)	 	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	Ġ	is/are allowed.
\times Claim(s) 1, 4-6, 21-9	7	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
		are subject to restriction or election
□ Claim(s)		roguirement
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		requirement.
 □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 	ing Review, PTO-948.	
 □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	
 □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection 	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	
 □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	
 □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	□ disapproved.
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the copies of the copies of the copies.	ing Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents is	□ disapproved.)-(d). have been
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies	ing Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents inber)	□ disapproved.)-(d). have been
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ing Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents in the priority documents i	□ disapproved. 0-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun □ received in this national stage application from the least mace (see the complex of	ing Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents in the priority documents	☐ disapproved.)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun □ received in this national stage application from the least mace (see the complex of	ing Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents in the priority documents	☐ disapproved.)-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the least open and the copies of	ing Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents in the priority documents	☐ disapproved.)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Part of Paper No. 1/15/05

Application/Control Number: 10/066,057

Art Unit: 1617

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 28, 47-49 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hinz.

Hinz teaches calcium for the treatment of obesity (abstract). A generic multiple vitamin is disclosed (column 8 line 65).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-6, 27-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinz.

Hinz is described above. Daily doses of 50-2000 mg are disclosed (claim 7).

As to the claimed food vehicles, the examiner takes notice under MPEP 2144.03 that the claimed items such as spinach are well known, even to the layman, to be sources of calcium in the diet or items that may be fortified with such. Thus, it would be an obvious expedient, even to the layman, to substitute a food source for the multivitamin disclosed in Hinz, for the beneficial effect of the additional nutrients therein.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,057 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

As to the claimed restriction of caloric intake, that would also be obvious to the layman as an enhancement to the method of Hinz to achieve an additional or more rapid loss of weight.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Edward J. Webman at telephone number 571-272-0633.

EDWARD V. WEBMAN PRIMARY BUSINER